

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/003,574	10/24/2001	Hannu Kuoksa	33047/240187 5083	
826	7590 06/21/2002			
ALSTON &	BIRD LLP	EXAMINER		
BANK OF AMERICA PLAZA 101 SOUTH TRYON STREET, SUITE 4000 CHARLOTTE, NC 28280-4000			NAVE, EILEEN ENAD	
			. ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1754	0
			DATE MAILED: 06/21/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<u> </u>			
,		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/003,574	KUOKSA, HANNU			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Eileen E. Nave	1754			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
THE N - Exten after: - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	- 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS to cause the application to become ABAND	the timely filed I days will be considered timely. I from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/2	<u>24/01-2/26/02</u> .				
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.				
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims	_, pance quey;e,				
4)🖾	Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application	1.				
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)[Claim(s) is/are rejected.	•				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•				
8) Claim(s) 1-25 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
,—	The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) 🔲 -	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority document					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachmen		, ,				
1) Notic	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) . Notice of Infor . 6) Other:	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
PTO-326 (Re		ction Summary	Part of Paper No. 7			

Application/Control Number: 10/003,574 Page 2

Art Unit: 1754

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-16, drawn to a method for controlling a causticizing process, classified in class 423, subclass 419.1.
 - II. Claims 17-25, drawn to an apparatus for controlling a causticizing process, classified in class 422, subclass 129+.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the process as claimed can be practiced by hand, such as adding calculated amounts of reactants and stirring by hand in an open container.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/003,574 Page 3

Art Unit: 1754

4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is

proper.

5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a

separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for

examination purposes as indicated is proper.

6. A telephone call was made to Cathy Moore on June 13, 2002 to request an oral election to

the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made. Applicant is

advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention

to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Eileen E. Nave whose telephone number is (703) 305-0033.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Stanley Silverman can be reached on (703) 308-3837.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned are (703) 872-9671 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final

communications.

Art Unit: 1754

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Nave/een

June 19, 2002

Stanley 9: Silverman
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1700